

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/699,450	Applicant(s) BHAGAVATULA ET AL
	Examiner Omar Rojas	Art Unit 2874

All Participants:

(1) Omar Rojas.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Adenike Adebiyi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A.

Claims discussed:

1, 4, and 5.

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 5,638,471 to Semo et al.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Rojas proposed an amendment to claims 1, 4, and 5 to further distinguish these claims from the Semo patent, previously made of record. The changes that were agreed to have been incorporated into an Examiner's amendment attached hereto

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



John D. Lee
Primary Examiner



Omar Rojas

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)